



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,394	12/19/2001	Adityo Prakash	10006.000610	5415
75	590 11/12/2004		EXAM	INER
James K. Okai	moto		ROSARIO-VASC	QUEZ, DENNIS
deGuzman Oka	moto & Benedicto LLP			
P.O. Box 51900)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palo Alto, CA	94303		2621	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

DEC 0 3 2004

Technology Center 2000

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/032,394	PRAKASH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dennis Rosario-Vasquez	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2001.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected armonic or accepted in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment/e\		
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/23/0 2 S. Patent and Trademark Office 8/8/02	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2621

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS filed on May 28, 2002 has three references on page 2, last three that were not considered because they were present. Please submit the last three references.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 7, line 11:"must transmitted" ought to be amended to "must be transmitted":

Page 15, line 22:"Fig 9A-C" ought to be amended to "Fig. 9A-B".

Page 16,line 22: "in sections A-C" is unfounded. A suggestion is to label each corresponding section in the previous pages with A-C.

Page 18, line 4: "arbitrarily" ought to be amended to "arbitrary".

Page 18, line 22:"assumed to well" ought to be amended to "assumed to be well".

Page 23, line 10:"can coupled" ought to be amended to "can be coupled".

Page 24,line 10: "14B, the result in Fig. 14C" ought to be amended to "14A, the result in Fig. 14B".

Page 29, line 11"based one" ought to be amended to "based on one".

Page 26, line 16 has two periods.

Page 30, last line: "we an example" ought to be amended to "an example"

Page 31, line 3:"will interpolated" ought to be amended to "will be interpolated".

Page 31, line 18:"thos weights" ought to be amended to "those weights".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 4. The following quotations of 37 CFR § 1.75(a) is the basis of objection:
 - (a) The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- 5. Claims 1,2,4,5,9,10,18,19,21,23,25,27,28,32,33,34,37 are objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75(a) as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1,2,5 and 33 are missing periods.

Claim 4, line 7 has a misplaced period that ought to be deleted.

Claims 9 and 10 has the phrase "5, or 6" which are claims that have no antecedent basis for transmitting of claim 9 or quantizing of claim 10.

Claim 18, lines 2,3 has the phrase "the forward transform" which has no antecedent basis and ought to be amend to "a forward transform".

Claim 19, line 2,3 has the phrase "the inverse transform" which has no antecedent basis.

Claim 21, line 2 has the phrase "the pattern adaptive transform" has no antecedent basis.

Claim 23, line 3:"the reconstruction phase" has no antecedent basis.

Claims 25 and 26, lines 3,4 "the filter", "the mathematical result" and "the convolution" have no antecedent basis.

Claim 27, lines 2,3:"the result", "the transition", and "the boundary" have no antecedent basis.

Claim 28, lines 2,3"the pixel value differences" have no antecedent basis. A suggestion is to amend "the pixel value differences" to "a plurality of pixel value differences".

Claim 32, line 2:"the data values" has no antecedent basis. A suggestion is to amend "the data values" to "the weighted average".

Claim 34, lines 2-5: "the transition", "the decoder", "the operation" and "the inverse transform" have no antecedent basis.

Claim 37, lines 1 and 3:"the needed function" and "the remaining pixels values" have no antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi et al. (US Patent 5,978,514 A).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 4, Yamaguchi et al. discloses a method of processing all or a portion of a multi-dimensional signal with a domain (A signal S1 of figure 1 has a domain of 16 X 16 pixels in col. 14, lines 59-61.) composed of a collection of arbitrarily shaped domains (Fig. 5(a) shows a rectangular ring 31.) via a multi-scale transform (fig. 2,num. 17:DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10:CODING MEANS) comprising the steps of:

Page 5

- a. Obtaining a multi-dimensional digital image frame (Fig. 1, label: "S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num.
 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.)
- b. Breaking the image frame into constituent arbitrary shaped domains, or given such a set (Fig. 1, label: "S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS and contain an "optional shape" in col. 13, line 61.), that cover all or a portion (The signal S1 has "micoblocks composed of 16 X 16 pixels (col. 14, lines 59-61)".) of the original multi-dimensional signal domain (Signal S1 of figure 1 has a domain of 16 X 16 pixels in col. 14, lines 59-61 that corresponds to the block.).
- c. Performing the domain adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2,num. 17:DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10:CODING MEANS)
- d. Quantizing (fig. 2, num. 21 : QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT) [the] a plurality of resultant decomposition coefficients (FIG. 2, um. 17 outputs coefficients to fig. 2, num. 21:QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT.)

Art Unit: 2621

e. Encoding (Fig, 2, num. 13:MODE DETERMINING MEANS provides for two types of encoding in col. 15, lines 1-5.) and transmitting the quantized values (Fig. 2, label:"S7" is an quantized output of fig. 2, num. 21:QIANTIZATION CIRCUIT.) over an information channel ("S7" of figure 2.) to a decoder (Fig. 48, num. 411:DECODING CIRCUIT) for reconstruction of an approximated signal (Fig. 48, num 70 is an output.).

Page 6

Claims 1, 2, and 3 contain analogous subject matter and are rejected as applied in claim 4, above.

Regarding claim 5, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of processing a multidimensional signal via multi-scale transform comprising the steps of:

- a. Obtaining the multi-dimensional signal (Fig. 1, label:"S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.)
- b. Performing a pattern (A pattern signal from figure 2, label "S2" is used with the output of the transform of fig. 2, num. 17. Note that a human visual characteristics which states that patterns are part of the human visual characteristic in col. 1, lines 18-35 are used in the "assignment" signal "S2" via a weight function of figure 2 in col. 11, lines 1-14 and col. 14, lines 28-31.) adaptive transform (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal "S1" of figure 2 based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) on the signal (Fig. 1, label: "S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.).

Regarding claim 6, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 2 where step b comprises of a combined domain (Fig. 5(a) is the domain.) a pattern (Fig. 5(a),num. 31 shows an outer rectangle pattern.) adaptive transform (Fig. 5(a) is weighted in col. 16, lines 20-31 depending on the human visual characteristics which take patterns in account in col. 11, lines 8-14.).

Claims 7 and 8 were addressed in claim 6.

Regarding claim 9, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in [any one of] claim[s] 3[, 5, or 6] where instead of transmitting over an information channel ("S7" of figure 2.) the encoded data (Fig. 2, label: "S7" and another output from quantizer 20 going into figure 2,num. 18 is an quantized output of fig. 2, num. 21:QIANTIZATION CIRCUIT.) is placed onto a storage apparatus (fig. 2,num. 14:REFERENCE MEMORY) or mechanism for the purpose of efficient storage and later decoding.

Regarding claim 10, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in [any one of claims] 3[, 5, or 6] where instead of directly quantizing (fig. 2, num. 21: QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT) the resultant decomposition coefficients (FIG. 2, um. 17 outputs coefficients to fig. 2, num. 21:QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT.) and then encoding (Figure 3, num. 25:ENCODER), the coefficients (FIG. 2, um. 17 outputs coefficients to fig. 2, num. 21:QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT.) are passed through a bit-plane encoder (No quantization is performed on the coefficients in the "dead zone" shown in figure 7(a) and 7(b), numeral 35 in col. 16, lines 34-38 and 55-59 of the quantization circuit 21. Thus the coefficients are passed to encoder 25 of figure 3 without quantization.).

Regarding claim 11, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 5 where the multi-dimensional signal (Fig. 1, label:"S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.) is composed of multiple color ("Color Signal" in col. 1, line 47) or intensity components.

Regarding claim 12, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 11 where the signal is 2-D (Signal S1 has an area.) and there are three color components (Color Signal and Luminance Signal in col. 1, line 47.) and these represent Y, U, and V and R,G,B.

Claim 13 was addressed in claim 12.

Regarding claim 14, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 11 where the signal is 2-D (Signal S1 has an area.) and there are three color components (Color Signal and Luminance Signal in col. 1, line 47.) and these are any orthogonal color components (The signal S1 is orthogonally transformed in col. 4, lines 50-56.).

Regarding claim 15, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as [in any one of claim[s] 2 [or 6] where the multi-dimensional image frame (Fig. 1, label:"S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.) is a still image frame ("an image" in col. 1, line 16 is used to display the image in col. 1, lines 1-16.)

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 16, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 2 or 6 where the multi-dimensional image frame (Fig. 1, label:"S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.) is an intra-frame ("intraframe" in col. 15, line 4) for a sequence of video images.

Regarding claim 17, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 2 or 6 where the multi-dimensional image frame (Fig. 1, label: "S1" is a multi-dimensional frame with dimensions of an area as shown in figure 1, num. 3:SCREEN-AREA DETERMINING MEANS.) is a residue frame ("S4" is a residue frame based on a subtraction of signals "S1" and "S3" of figure 2.) for a sequence of video images.

Regarding claim 18, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 6 where the domain adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2,num. 17:DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10:CODING MEANS) is applied during the calculation of coarser scale representations (Figure 59 shows the DCT transform, 2D DCT FOR BLOCKS (N XN) calculating a coarser or "REDUCED IMAGE" representation.) in [the] a forward transform (Non-inverse transform) of a multi-scale transform (DCT transform).

Regarding claim 19, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 6 where the domain adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING MEANS) is applied during [the] an estimation of next finer scale representations (The output of figure 2,num. 18:INVERSE DCT) in [the] an inverse transform (Fig. 2,num. 18: INVERSE DCT) of a multi-scale transform during the reconstruction phase (Fig. 2,num. 18: INVERSE DCT is used "to reproduce any signals (col. 15, lines 28-31).") either in conjunction with the or irrespective of the use of the method in claim 18 (The inverse DCT 18 of figure 2 uses the results from DCT 17 of figure 2 as claim in claim 18.).

Regarding claim 20, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 6 where the domain adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING MEANS) is applied in order to construct a sub-band decomposition (Figure 5(a) is a subband decomposition of an image into two regions 31 and 33 in col. 16, lines 39-42.) of a multi-scale transform (DCT transform).

Regarding claim 21, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 18, 19, or 20 where instead of the domain (Fig. 5(a) is an image or domain where a transform takes place.) adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING MEANS), the pattern (Fig. 5(a), num. 31 shows an outer rectangle pattern.) adaptive transform (Fig. 5(a) is weighted in col. 16, lines 20-31 depending on the human visual characteristics which take patterns in account in col. 11, lines 8-14.) is used (The DCT transform performs a domain and pattern transform using the human visual characteristics.).

Claim 22 was addressed in claim 21.

Regarding claim 23, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 6 where the domain (Fig. 5(a) is an image or domain where a transform takes place.) adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING MEANS) is applied during the estimation of the next finer level of sub-bands (Fig. 49 shows a series of images with differing resolutions that can be selected.) in a multi-scale transform (Inverse DCT transform) during the reconstruction phase (Fig. 49, label "REPRODUCED SIGNAL" is a reconstructed signal based on the selected image.).

Claim 24 has been addressed in claim 10. The wording is different from both claims 10 and 24, but both are claiming the same function.

Page 12

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 25, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 6 where the domain (Fig. 5(a) is an image or domain where a transform takes place.) adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING MEANS) is applied such that the points external (Fig. 5(a), num. 32 is a rectangular ring that is external to rectangular ring 31.) to the arbitrary domain (Fig. 5(a) shows a rectangular ring 31.) but within the support of [the] a filter (or filters) (Fig. 15, num. 40:SPATAIL-TEMPORAL FILTER has a support of 3 X 3 pixels as shown in figure 10(b).) are excluded (The rectangular ring 31 shown in figure 13(a) has a region excluded or "restrained" for detecting a region 47 as shown in figures 13(b) and 13(c) in col. 17, lines 32-34 and col. 18, lines 34-44.) from [the] a mathematical result (The "restrained" portion of fig. 13(a), num. 31 is outputted to fig. 15. num. 25:ENCODER) of [the] a convolution (Fig. 15, num. 25: ENCODER produces a convolution or mixture of signals that are combined as shown in figure 6.) or weighted average / difference.

Regarding claim 26, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 1 or 6 where the domain (Fig. 5(a) is an image or domain where a transform takes place.) adaptive (Fig. 2,num. 17 DCT is adaptive because it adapts to changes of the input signal based on a selector 15 of figure 2.) transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING MEANS) is applied such that [the] a plurality of points (Figure 5(a) shows another rectangular ring 32.) external to the arbitrary domain (Fig. 5(a) shows a rectangular ring 31.) but within [the] a support of [the] a filter (Fig. 10(b) is a filter with a support of 3 X 3.) [(or filters)] or a plurality of filters are included in [the] a mathematical result (Fig. 9, num. 44 is an adder.) of [the] a convolution or weighted average / difference (A difference "1-k" of figure 9, num. 43 is inputted to adder 44.) but are further multiplied (or re-weighted) (Figure 5(a) shows another rectangular ring 32 that is weighted differently from rectangular ring 31 in col. 17, lines 26-29.) by another set of weighting factors ("m and k" in col. 17, lines 26,27).

Regarding claim 27, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 26 where the set of additional multiplicative factors ("m and k" in col. 17, lines 26,27) is determined as [the] a result (Fig. 8, num. 23: RESOLUTION DETECTING CIRCUIT outputs data.) of calculation of a local measure (Fig. 8, num. 23 measures resolution regions.) characterizing [the] a transition (Fig. 8, num. 23 calculates resolution regions that have a transition between regions using respective weights in col. 16, lines 23-26.) at [the] a boundary of the arbitrary domain (Fig. 5(a) shows a rectangular ring 31 that has a boundary with another rectangular ring 32.).

Regarding claim 28, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 27 where the measure (Fig. 8, num. 23 measures resolution regions.) is based on a statistical function ("weight distribution function" in col. 16, lines 20,21.) of the pixel value differences (The weight distribution function is uses pixels of figure 4, num. 27 or figure 5(a), num. 31 that are weighted differently from another set of pixels of figure 4,num. 29 or fig. 5(a), num. 32 as mentioned in col. 16, lines 1-31.) across the boundary (Fig. 5(a) shows a rectangular ring 31 that has a boundary with another rectangular ring 32.) transition (Fig. 8, num. 23 calculates resolution regions that have a transition between regions using respective weights in col. 16, lines 23-26.).

Regarding claim 29, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 28 where the statistical function ("weight distribution function" in col. 16, lines 20,21.) is the mean ("standard deviation" in col. 16, line 6 includes a mean value.).

Regarding claim 30, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 28 where the statistical function ("weight distribution function" in col. 16, lines 20,21.) is the median ("normal distribution" in col. 16, line 7 includes a central value.).

Regarding claim 31, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 28 where the statistical function ("weight distribution function" in col. 16, lines 20,21.) is based on a weighted average ("normal distribution" in col. 16, line 7 includes a central value.).

Regarding claim 32, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 28 where the statistical function ("weight distribution function" in col. 16, lines 20,21.) is based on a weighted average (The weight distribution is based on a standard deviation that inherently contains an average.) with coefficients (or weights) that are nonlinear functions (The standard deviation inherently includes root and power functions.) of the weighted average [data values] themselves.

Regarding claim 33, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 28 where the statistical function ("weight distribution function" in col. 16, lines 20,21.) is a predetermined constant (The weight distribution constantly distributes weights in a specified area as mentioned in col. 16, lines 26-31.).

Regarding claim 34, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 26 where the set of additional multiplicative factors ("m and k" in col. 17, lines 26,27) is determined as the result of calculation of a local measure (Fig. 13(b) shows a region 47 or 53 that is measured based on a number of pixels in col. 18, lines 34-46.) characterizing [the] **a** transition (A region 32 contains a border 47 with region 53 of figure 13(c).) at the boundary of the arbitrary domain (Fig. 5(a) and figure 13(a) show a rectangular ring 31 next to the transition region 32.) and the calculation of the local measure (Fig. 13(b) shows a region 53 that is measured.) is dependent on data (Data from fig. 2,num. 22:INVERSE QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT is provided to INVERSE DCT 18 of figure 2) which is available to [the] **a** decoder (Fig. 2,num. 18: INVERSE DCT) at the time of [the] **an** operation when envisioned as part of [the] **an** inverse transform (Fig. 2, num. 18: INVERSE DCT) or reconstruction phase of a multi-scale transform.

Regarding claim 35, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 34 where the calculation of the local measure (Fig. 13(b) shows a region 47 or 53 that is measured based on a number of pixels in col. 18, lines 34-46.) is based on one or more coarser scales of representation (Fig. 49 shows a series of the signal S1 that has a progression of coarseness.) of the signal (A signal S1 of figure 1 has a domain of 16 X 16 pixels in col. 14, lines 59-61.) which have already been decoded (Fig. 2, num. 22: INVERSE QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT outputs a decoded signal to figure 18:INVERSE DCT.) and thus made known to the decoder (Fig. 2, num. 22: INVERSE QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT) by the time of the inverse transform step (Fig. 2,num. 18: INVERSE DCT.).

Regarding claim 36, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 34 where the calculation of the local measure (Fig. 13(b) shows a region 47 or 53 that is measured based on a number of pixels in col. 18, lines 34-46.) is based on a motion compensated (Fig. 2, num. 11: MOTION-VECTOR DETECTION CIRCUIT) model frame (or equivalent)(Fig. 2,num. 14:REFERNCE FRAME MEMORY is inputted to figure 2, num. 11 that performs motion compensation.) that has already been decoded (via output arrow of fig. 2, num. 22:INVERSE QUANTIZATION) and thus made known to the decoder (Fig. 2,num. 22: INVERSE QUANTIZATION CIRCUIT) by the time of the inverse transform step (Fig. 2,num. 18: INVERSE DCT) in the context of a encoder-decoder system (Fig. 2, num. 10) related to the efficient transmission (Three outputs of figure 2,num. 10.) or storage of a sequence of video data.

Regarding claim 37, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method as in any one of claims 25 or 26 where [the] a needed function for renormalization, i.e. replacement of [the] a plurality of missing filter coefficients (Fig. 37, num. 500:AVERAGE VALUE SEPARATING CIRCUIT performs a function of assigning coefficient values of zero as shown in figure 38.), is accomplished by a statistical function (Fig. 37, num. 500 AVERAGE VALUE) of [the] a plurality of remaining pixel values (Fig. 38 shows remaining pixels values as white squares in the left array of pixels.) which are located at points contained within the arbitrary shaped domain (Fig. 5(a) and figure 13(a) show a rectangular ring 31 next to the transition region 32 that corresponds to an input signal of figure 37, num. 10:IMAGE SIGNAL. Thus, the array of pixels in figure 38 that correspond to the rectangular rings 31 and 32 are from the signal of figure 37, num. 10:IMAGE SIGNAL.).

Claim 38 was addressed in claim 29.

Claim 39 was addressed in claim 30.

Claim 40 was addressed in claim 31.

Claim 41 was addressed in claim 32.

Regarding claim 42, Yamaguchi et al. discloses the method of claim 37 where some form of outlier rejection ("restrain" in col. 17, line 34) is used to ensure that outliers ("first region 31 of figure 5(a)" in col. 17, lines 27,28.) remaining inside the intersection of the domain (The image of fig. 5(a).) and the filter support (Fig. 10(b) shows a filter with a support of 3 X 3 that scans the region of the image in fig. 5(a).) do not disrupt the local accuracy or efficiency (Using figure 8, the "filter" 40 "restrains" any "codes" in col.

Art Unit: 2621

17, lines 32-35 produced for the DCT transform of fig. 2,num. 17 or encoder 25 of fig. 8.) of the transform (fig. 2, num. 17: DCT contained in fig. 1,num. 10: CODING

MEANS).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cho et al. (US Patent 6,501,861 B1) is pertinent as teaching a method of transforming images using shapes as shown in figure 7.

Sathe et al. (US Patent 5,909,249 A) is pertinent as teaching a method of using a transform with non-linear characteristics shown in figs. 3-5.

Quenouille, Introductory Statistics, Pergamon Press Ltd.,

pp. 6,7, ©1966, is pertinent as teaching a method of standard deviation that includes a mean and average. This reference is applicable to claims 29 and 31.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Rosario-Vasquez whose telephone number is 703-305-5431. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Boudreau can be reached on 703-305-4706. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,394 Page 19

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DPV Dennis Rosario-Vasquez Unit 2621

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OM8 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

sobstitute for form 1449A/PTO

Sheet

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

of

Complete if Known				
Application Number	10/032,394			
Filing Date	December 19, 2001			
First Named Inventor	Adityo Prakash			
Group Art Unit	2613			
Examiner Name	not yet known			
Attorney Docket Number	10006.000610			

			U.S. PATENT DOCUM	MENTS	
.	011-	U.S. Patent Document	Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant
Examiner Initials *	Cite No.1	Number Kind Code ² (if known)	of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
, 121	0	5790269	Masaki, et al.	August 4, 1998	
DP.	Р	5881183	Lee	March 9, 1999	
Pyd	Q	6128041	Han, et al.	October 3, 2000	
					RECEIVED
					AUG 0 9 2002
	<u> </u>				Hou o o cooc
					Technology Center 260
				•	
				·	

			FC	REIGN	PATENT DOCUME	NTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	For Office ³	reign Patent Docume		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ₆
012V	R	wo	98/21893	A1	Philips Electronics	22 May 1998		
DIW	S	EP	0 734166 A2	A2	Daewoo Electronics	25 Sept. 1996		
DIZV	T	EP	0 892 364	A2	Oki Electric Industry,Co.	20 Jan. 1999		
DRV	ט	EP	1 021 043	A2	Hyundai Electomics Industries, Co.	19 July 2000		

Examiner Signature	81/2	Date Considered	11/05/04

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark hee if English language Translation is attached.



Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

Substitute for	form 1449A/PT	0			Complete if Known
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT		Application Number	10/032,394		
		Filing Date	December 19, 2001		
		First Named Inventor	Adityo Prakash		
	•		•	Group Art Unit	2613
(us	se as many sh	neets as nec	essary)	Examiner Name	not yet known
Sheet	2	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	10006.000610

	OTHER PRIOR ART NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS		
T²	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Cite No.1	Examiner Initials *
	FARUK M.O. ERYURTLU, et al. "Very Low Bit-Rate Segmentation-based Video Coding"; pgs. 151-154, XP-000964709; University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom.	٧	DIEN
	YURAO FENG, et al. "Adaptive Object Tracking and Video Compression with Dynamic Contours": total pgs:.11; XP-002112425; Fifteenth National Radio Science Conference February 24-26, 1998, Helwan, Cairo, Egypt.	w	ساه
	JONG-LAK KiM, et al., "Daewoo Proposal For Object Scalability"; pgs. 1-9; XP-000994688; International Organization For Standardization Organization Interanationale De Normalizsation ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 Coding Of Moving Pictures And Associated Audio; January 1993, Munich, Germany.	х	DEV
) ·	RECEIVE		
2	AUG 0 9 2002		
2600	Technology Center 2		
			

	Examiner Signature	807	Date Considered 11/05/04	
--	-----------------------	-----	-----------------------------	--

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

Sheet

Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0031

MAI		Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMME	FRCE
96) no persons a	re regulied to reso	and to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control or	umber.
787	743	•	1

INFORMATION	DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT B	Y APPLICANT

Under the Pagerwork Reduction Act of 19

(use as many sheets as necessary)

of

	Complete if Known		1
Application Number	10/032,394	젊	
Filing Date	December 19, 2001	3	<u> </u>
First Named Inventor	Adityo Prakash	8	又
Group Art Unit	2613	90	2
Examiner Name	not yet known	y C	دد
Attorney Docket Number	10006.000610	en	0

			U.S. PATENT DOCU	MENTS	2600
Cite	U.S. Pa		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevel
No.1	Number	(If known)	of Cited Document	MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	ļ				-7
<u> </u>					
	1				
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	† · · · · ·				
-					
ļ	+			 	
					
	 				
	 			ļ	
	ļ				
	Cite No.¹	Cite	No.1 Number Kind Code*	Cite No.' Number Kind Code ² Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cite No.¹ Number Kind Code² No.¹ Number Kind Code² Number Kind Code² Number

		Fo	reign Patent Docu		PATENT DOCU	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Office ³		nd Code ⁵ (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document			T ₆
727	Α	WO	00/64167	A1	Pulsent Corp.	26 Oct. 2000		
الغرار	В	WO	00/77735	A1	Pulsent Corp.	21 Dec. 2000		
		-						
				1				

Examiner Signature	Silly	Date Considered	11/05/04

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 6 Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. 6 Applicant is to place a check mark hee if English language Translation is attached.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1998

PTO/SB/08A (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Opersons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number PADHA Substitute for form 1449A/PTO Complete if Known **Application Number** 10/032,394 **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE** Filing Date December 19, STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Adityo Prakash 2613 **Group Art Unit** (use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** not yet known 10006.000610 Sheet of **Attorney Docket Number**

)
	 -	OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	2
Examiner Initials *	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T 2
PTW	С	PETER J. BURT, et al., The Laplacian Pyramid as a Compact Image Code; April 1983, pgs. 532-540, Vol. COM-31; IEEE Transactions on Communications.	
17121	D	AMIR SAID, et al., A New, Fast, and Efficient Image Codec Based on Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees; June 1996, pgs. 243-250, Vol. 6 No. 3; IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology.	
Drv	E	MICHAEL W. MARCELLIN, et al., Trellis Coded Quantization of Memoryless and Gauss-Markov Sources; January 1990, pgs. 82-93, Vol. 38 No.1; IEEE Transactions on Communications.	
DPV	F	JEROME M. SHAPIRO, An Embedded Hierarchical Image Coder Using Zerotrees Of Wavelet Coefficients; pgs. January 1993, pgs. 214-223The David Sarnoff Research Center, Princeton, N.J.	
DIN	G	THOMAS SIKORA, et al., Express Letters; June 1995, pgs. 254-258, Vol. 5 No. 3; IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology.	•
17 PM	н	ANDRE KAUP, Object-Based Texture Coding of Moving Video in MPEG-4; February 1999, pgs.5-15, Vol. 9 No. 1; IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology.	
751	Į.	JIN LI, et al., Arbitrary Shape Wavelet Transforms with Phase Alignment; January 1998, pgs. 683-687; Digital Video, Sharp Laboratories of America, Camas, WA.	
DPV	J	RONALD R. COIFMAN, et al., Signal Processing and Compression with Wavelet Packets; 5 April 1990, pgs. 1-10; Numerical Algorithms Research Group, Yale University, Connecticut.	
PipV	к	WIM SWELDENS, The Lifting Scheme: A Custom-Design Construction of Biorthogonal Wavelets; Nov. 1994, revised Nov. 1995, pgs. 188-200; Article No. 0015; AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ.	
~		WIM SWELDENS, Industrial Mathematics Initiative; Research Report, pgs. 1-34; The University of South-Carolina, Department of Mathematics, Carolina.	
	М	INGRID DAUBECHIES, Ten Lectures en Wavelets, Society For Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1992.	
-	-N-	MARK DE BERG, et al.; Computational Geometry, Algorithms and Applications; Department of Computer Science, Utrecht University, The Netherlands; Pohang University of Science and Technology, South Korea.	

Examiner		Date	:•
Signature	51/1/	Considered	11/05/04

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/032,394

Examiner

Dennis Rosario-Vasquez

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
PRAKASH ET AL.

Art Unit
Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,501,861 B1	12-2002	Cho et al.	382/243
	В	US-5,978,514 A	11-1999	Yamaguchi et al.	382/243
	С	US-5,909,249 A	06-1999	Sathe et al.	375/240.18
	D	US-			
	E	US-	·		
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification					
	N										
	0										
	Р	_				·					
	Q										
	R										
	S										
	Т	-									

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)	_
	U	Quenouille, M. H., Introductory Statistics,1966, Pergamon Press Ltd.,pp. 6-7	
	V		
	w		
	x		

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

INTRODUCTORY STATISTICS

SCIENTIFIC LIBRARY

JAN 6 1974

U.S. PATENT OFFICE

M. H. QUENOUILLE, Sc.D.

Imperial College of Science and Technology, London



PERGAMON PRESS

OXFORD · LONDON · EDINBURGH · NEW YORK TORONTO · SYDNEY · PARIS · BRAUNSCHWEIG

QA 276

Pergamon Press Ltd., Headington Hill Hall, Oxford 4 & 5 Fitzroy Square, London W.1

Pergamon Press (Scotland) Ltd., 2 & 3 Teviot Place, Edinburgh 1
Pergamon Press Inc., Maxwell House, Fairview Park, Elmsford, New York 10523
Pergamon of Canada Ltd., 207 Queen's Quay West, Toronto 1
Pergamon Press (Aust.) Pty. Ltd., 19a Boundary Street,
Rushcutters Bay, N.S.W. 2011, Australia
Pergamon Press S.A.R.L., 24 rue des Écoles, Paris 5°
Vieweg & Sohn GmbH, Burgplatz 1, Braunschweig

- Copyright © 1966 Pergamon Press Ltd.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of Pergamon Press Limited.

First edition 1950 Reprinted 1966 Reprinted 1969

Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 65-28318

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN BY A. WHEATON & CO., EXETER

08 009000 1

the set of ten observations 104, 107, 111, 112, 114, 115, 117, 119, 124, 127, has an arithmetic mean of 115, and the differences or deviations from the mean, irrespective of sign, are 11, 8, 4, 3, 1, 0, 2, 4, 9, 12, so that the mean deviation is

$$[11+8+4+3+1+0+2+4+9+12]/10=5\cdot4$$

Mathematically, the differences are denoted by $x_1 - \overline{x}$, $x_2 - \overline{x}$, $x_3 - \overline{x}$. . . and the disregard for the sign is expressed by parallels thus

$$|x_1-\overline{x}|$$
, $|x_2-\overline{x}|$, $|x_3-\overline{x}|$, ...

so that the mean deviation is

$$\frac{|x_1-\overline{x}|+|x_2-\overline{x}|+|x_3-\overline{x}|+\ldots+|x_n-\overline{x}|}{n}=\frac{\sum|x-\overline{x}|}{n}$$

This measure of spread will not be affected by a few large or small observations in the same manner as the range. It can also be used for comparative purposes since it is virtually independent of the number of observations taken. (It is not completely independent of the number of observations, but provided the number of observations exceeds ten it is effectively so.) The magnitude of the mean deviation will indicate the region within which slightly more than half the observations will fall. In the above example slightly more than half the observations are within 5.4 of the mean 115 i.e. between 109:6 and 120.4.

To demonstrate the use of the range and mean deviation, suppose that 92, 99, 104, 110, 113, 115, 118, 121, 125 and 133 is a second set of ten observations. The arithmetic mean of this set of observations is 113 and the deviations from the mean, ignoring the signs, are 21, 14, 9, 3, 0, 2, 5, 8, 12 and 20, so that the mean deviation is

$$[21+14+9+3+0+2+5+8+12+20]/10=9\cdot4$$

Here, slightly more than half the observations lie between 113 - 9.4 and 113 + 9.4 i.e. 103.6 and 122.4. The spreads or scatters of the measurements in the two sets of observations are thus in the ratio 9.4/5.4 = 1.74, and scatter in the second set is 1.74 times that in the first. A comparison of the scatter in the two sets of observations using the range gives the ratio $\frac{133-92}{127-104} = \frac{41}{23} = 1.78$, which agrees remarkably well with this value.

1.8 Measures of spread: standard deviation and variance—The standard deviation is the most commonly used measure of spread and its square, which is called the variance, occurs almost as frequently. The variance is defined to be the average of the squared deviations of each observation from the arithmetic mean, or briefly, the mean squared deviation. Thus for the

STATISTICS

, 112, 114, 115, 117, 119, 124, 127, differences or deviations from the ; 1, 0, 2, 4, 9, 12, so that the mean

$$4+9+12]/10=5.4$$

toted by $x_1 - \overline{x}$, $x_2 - \overline{x}$, $x_3 - \overline{x}$. . . :sed by parallels thus

$$|x_3-\overline{x}|$$
, . . .

$$\frac{|x_n - \overline{x}|}{n} = \frac{\sum |x - \overline{x}|}{n}$$

affected by a few large or small the range. It can also be used for ally independent of the number of the independent of the number of the observations exceeds ten it is the mean deviation will indicate the half the observations will fall. In a half the observations are within and 120.4.

e and mean deviation, suppose that 125 and 133 is a second set of ten this set of observations is 113 and the signs, are 21, 14, 9, 3, 0, 2, 5, on is

$$+8+12+20]/10=9.4$$

ervations lie between 113-9.4 and eads or scatters of the measurements hus in the ratio 9.4/5.4=1.74, and es that in the first. A comparison ations using the range gives the ratio narkably well with this value.

leviation and variance—The standard i measure of spread and its square, lmost as frequently. The variance is d deviations of each observation from ean squared deviation. Thus for the

PRESENTATION OF SETS OF MEASUREMENTS

first set of observations given on p 6 the deviations are -11, -8, -4, -3, -1, 0, 2, 4, 9 and 12, and the variance is

$$[121+64+16+9+1+0+4+16+81+144]/10=45.6$$

The standard deviation or root mean squared deviation is thus $\sqrt{(45.6)} = 6.75$.

Under this definition the standard deviation and variance will not be much affected by a few large or small observations. For the purposes of comparison they will not be greatly influenced by the numbers of observations in each group although, if the number of observations tends to be small, the standard deviation and variance tend to be reduced*. This difficulty is overcome by altering the definition slightly, so that the variance is taken as the total of the squared deviations divided by one less than the number of observations†. Thus, in the above example, the variance by the revised definition is

$$[121+64+16+9+1+0+4+16+81+144]/9 = 50.67$$

and the standard deviation is $\sqrt{(50 \cdot 67)} = 7 \cdot 12$. It is impossible to justify completely the use of one less than the number of observations in calculating the mean squared deviation or variance without recourse to mathematics and, for this reason, a fuller mathematical justification is given on p 51. Since the deviations of the observations from the mean can be represented by $x_1 - \overline{x}$, $x_2 - \overline{x}$, $x_3 - \overline{x}$, ... $x_n - \overline{x}$, the definition of variance or mean squared deviation is

$$\frac{(x_1 - \overline{x})^2 + (x_2 - \overline{x})^2 + (x_3 - \overline{x})^2 + \dots + (x_n - \overline{x})^2}{n - 1} = \frac{\sum (x - \overline{x})^2}{n - 1}$$

and the standard deviation is $\left(\frac{\sum (x-\overline{x})^2}{(n-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

If the second set of observations on p 6 is used, its variance is found to be

$$[441 + 196 + 81 + 9 + 0 + 4 + 25 + 64 + 144 + 400]/9 = 151.56$$

and its standard deviation is $\sqrt{(151.56)}=12.31$. Thus, the ratio of the standard deviations of the two sets of observations is 12.31/7.12=1.73, which agrees with the values of 1.74 and 1.78 which were derived using the mean deviation and range.

It is usually true to say that about two thirds of any set of observations differ from the mean by less than the standard deviation. For the first set of central observations roughly two thirds of the observations lie between 151 7.12 and 115+7.12 i.e. between 107.88 and 122.12, while for the

to topic observation, the standard deviation by this definition would be zero, whereas it is really more than the standard deviation concerning scatter from only one observation.

Let this definition, when only one observation is taken, the standard deviation is now 0/0, an actinitie quantity.